arXiv:1604.08363v3 [math.PR] 3 Oct 2016

HOLE PROBABILITIES FOR FINITE AND INFINITE GINIBRE ENSEMBLES

KARTICK ADHIKARI AND NANDA KISHORE REDDY

Abstract. We study the hole probabilities of the infinite Ginibre ensemble $\mathcal{X}_\infty$, a determinantal point process on the complex plane with the kernel $\mathbb{K}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{z\overline{w} - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|w|^2}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. Let U be an open subset of open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU)$ denote the number of points of $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ that fall in rU. Then, under some conditions on U, we show that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] = R_\emptyset - R_U,$$

where $\emptyset$ is the empty set and

$$R_U := \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(U^c)} \left\{ \iint \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + \int |z|^2 d\mu(z) \right\},$$

$\mathcal{P}(U^c)$ is the space of all compactly supported probability measures with support in U^c. Using potential theory, we give an explicit formula for R_U, the minimum possible energy of a probability measure compactly supported on U^c under logarithmic potential with a quadratic external field. Moreover, we calculate R_U explicitly for some special sets like annulus, cardioid, ellipse, equilateral triangle and half disk.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a point process (see [7], p. 7) on $\mathbb{C}$ and let U be an open set in $\mathbb{C}$. The probability that U contains no points of $\mathcal{X}$ is called *hole/gap probability* for U. Our main aim, in this paper, is to compute hole probabilities of finite and infinite Ginibre ensembles for various open sets U, using potential theory. For earlier studies on hole probabilities for various other point processes, we refer the reader to [1], [11], [12], [13], [18].

A $n \times n$ random matrix G_n, with i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian entries, is called n-th complex *Ginibre ensemble*. The joint probability density function of the eigenvalues of G_n (see [8], [9], p. 60) is

$$\frac{1}{\pi^n \prod_{k=1}^n k!} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2,$$

where $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$. The point process $\mathcal{X}_n$, constituting the eigenvalues of G_n, is a determinantal point process (see [9], p. 48, [4], p. 215), as the joint density of the eigenvalues can also be written as

$$\frac{1}{n!} \det\left(\mathbb{K}_n(z_i, z_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n},$$

Date: October 4, 2016.

Partially supported by UGC Centre for Advanced Studies. Research of Nanda Kishore reddy is supported by CSIR-SPM fellowship, CSIR, Government of India.

with the kernel

$$\mathbb{K}_n(z,w) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k(z)\overline{\varphi_k(w)}, \text{ where } \varphi_k(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(k-1)!}} z^{k-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2},$$

with respect to the background measure $dm(z)$, where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane.

The *infinite Ginibre ensemble* $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ (see [9], p. 60) on the complex plane is a determinantal point process with the kernel

$$\mathbb{K}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{z\overline{w} - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|w|^2}$$

with respect to the background measure $dm(z)$. Since $\mathbb{K}_n(z,w)$ converges to $\mathbb{K}(z,w)$ as $n \to \infty$, the n-th complex Ginibre ensemble converges in distribution to infinite Ginibre ensemble as $n \to \infty$ (see [7], Theorem 11.1.VII).

Notation: Define $rU := \{rz | z \in U\}$. ∂U denotes the boundary of U. The number of points of a point process $\mathcal{X}$ that fall in U is denoted by $\mathcal{X}(U)$. For $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{P}(E)$ denotes the space of all compactly supported probability measures with support in E.

The main purpose of this paper is to compute the limits of hole probabilities for infinite Ginibre ensemble for a wide class of open sets U. It is known, about the hole probabilities for infinite Ginibre ensemble $\mathcal{X}_\infty$, that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{1}{4},$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is open unit disk. This has been computed in [17] (see Theorem 1.1). An alternate proof of this has been obtained in [9], Proposition 7.2.1. The key idea in the proof ([9], Proposition 7.2.1) is that the set of absolute values of the points of $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots\}$, where $R_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k,1)$ and all the R_ks are independent. This fact is due to Kostlan [10] (see Result 21 below). By using the same idea we have the following result for annulus.

Theorem 1. *Let $U_c = \{z \mid c < |z| < 1\}$ for fixed $0 < c < 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU_c) = 0] = -\frac{(1-c^2)}{4} \cdot \left(1 + c^2 + \frac{1-c^2}{\log c}\right).$$

Observe that the above idea cannot be applied for non circular domains. So, to prove the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 6), we resort to the computation of hole probabilities of finite Ginibre ensembles, as the infinite Ginibre ensemble is the distributional limit of finite Ginibre ensembles. Hole probability of n-th Ginibre ensemble for an open set $\sqrt{n}U$ is given by

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n dm(z_i), \tag{1}$$

where $Z_n = n^{-\frac{n^2}{2}} \pi^n \prod_{k=1}^n k!$. Circular law [8] tells us that the empirical eigenvalue distribution ρ_n of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} G_n$ converges to the uniform measure on unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$. So, for $U \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0]$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. Observe that $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = \mathbf{P}[\rho_n \in \mathcal{P}(U^c)]$. Therefore by Large deviation principle for the

empirical eigenvalue distribution of Ginibre ensemble, proved in [14], we have an upper bound for the limits of hole probabilities,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \leq - \inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(U^c)} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4},$$

where the rate function R_μ is the following functional on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$

$$R_\mu = \iint \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + \int |z|^2 d\mu(z),$$

as the set $\mathcal{P}(U^c)$ is closed in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ with weak topology. No non-trivial lower bound for hole probabilities can be deduced from the large deviation principle, as the set $\mathcal{P}(U^c)$ has empty interior. See that, for $a \in U$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(U^c)$, $(1-\frac{1}{n})\mu + \frac{1}{n}\delta_a \notin \mathcal{P}(U^c)$ for all n and converges to μ as $n \to \infty$. Nonetheless we have the following lemma which gives a good lower bound for the hole probabilities of finite Ginibre ensembles.

Lemma 2. *Let U be a open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the point process of eigenvalues of G_n. Then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \leq - \inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(U^c)} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4},$$

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \geq - \inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4},$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) : dist(Supp(\mu), \overline{U}) > 0\}$.

Notice that $\mathcal{P}(U_\epsilon^c) \subset \mathcal{A}$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, where U_ϵ is ϵ-neighbourhood of U. This lemma requires us to study

$$R_U := \inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(U^c)} R_\mu$$

for all open sets $U \subset \mathbb{D}$, to see if the upper and lower bounds in the above lemma match. The measure for which this infimum is attained is called *equilibrium measure* under logarithmic potential with quadratic external field. If $U = \emptyset$, then it is known [2], [5] that the equilibrium measure is the uniform probability measure on the unit disk and $R_\emptyset$ is $\frac{3}{4}$. For the sake of completeness, we provide a proof of this in Section 4. For a class of open sets U with certain boundary conditions, equilibrium measures have already been described in [5]. Our next result, using a formulation different from that of [5], provides a formula to compute the constant R_U for any open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ and describes the equilibrium measure in terms of the balayage measure on ∂U, the definition of which is as follows.

Balayage measure: For a bounded open set U, there exists a unique measure μ on ∂U such that $\mu(B) = 0$ for every Borel polar set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\int_{\partial U} \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_U \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} dm(w) \text{ for quasi-every } z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash U.$$

μ is said to be the *balayage* measure associated with measure $\frac{1}{\pi}m$ on U. In this paper we simply call it the *balayage* measure on ∂U. For discussion on the balayage measures associated with general measures on $\mathbb{C}$, we refer the reader to [16] (p. 110).

Theorem 3. *Let U be an open set such that $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then the equilibrium measure for U^c, under logarithmic potential with quadratic external field, is $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ and*

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\right],$$

where

$$d\nu_1(z) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash U \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

and ν_2 is the balayage measure on ∂U.

The above lemma and theorem give us limits of hole probabilities of finite Ginibre ensembles for a certain class of sets U.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the point process of eigenvalues of G_n and let $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ be an open set such that there exists a sequence of open sets U_n such that $\overline{U} \subset U_n \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ for all n and the balayage measure ν_n on ∂U_n converges weakly to the balayage measure ν on ∂U. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = R_\emptyset - R_U.$$

The second class of sets U we consider satisfy the exterior ball condition, i.e., there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $z \in \partial U$ there exists a $\eta \in U^c$ such that

$$U^c \supset B(\eta, \epsilon) \text{ and } |z - \eta| = \epsilon. \tag{2}$$

Note that all convex domains satisfy the condition (2). Annulus is not a convex domain but it satisfies the condition (2). The following theorem gives hole probabilities for such open sets.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the point process of eigenvalues of G_n and let $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ be an open set satisfying condition* (2)*. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = R_\emptyset - R_U,$$

where $\mathcal{X}_n(U)$ denotes the number of points of $\mathcal{X}_n$ that fall in U.

The above theorem doesn't include cases of cardioid or sectors with obtuse angle at centre. Theorem 4 takes care of these and all the other sets U which can contain scaled-down copies of themselves. But Theorem 4, unlike Theorem 5, requires the boundary of U to not intersect the unit circle. The proof of Theorem 5 makes use of Fekete points, whereas that of Theorem 4 makes use of the balayage measure. We generalize Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 to the case of infinite Ginibre ensemble.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ be the point process of infinite Ginibre ensemble and let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{D}$ which satisfies the conditions in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 or that of Theorem 5. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] = R_\emptyset - R_U,$$

where $\mathcal{X}_\infty(U)$ denotes the number of points of $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ that fall in U.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give exact values of the constant R_U and the balayage measure ν_2 for some particular open sets U. Assuming Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we give proof of Theorem 6 in Section 3. In Section 4, we give proof of Theorem 3. In Section 5, we give proofs of Theorems 4 and 5. We show explicit calculations for finding the constant R_U and the balayage measure ν_2 in Section 6. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.

2. Table of examples

Suppose ν is the equilibrium measure for $\overline{\mathbb{D}}\backslash U$ as in Theorem 3. Then the equilibrium measure is $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, where

$$d\nu_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}\backslash U \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases},$$

and ν_2 is the balayage measure on ∂U. Let $R'_U = \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\right]$, then $R_U = \frac{3}{4} + R'_U$. Note that R'_U satisfies the scaling relation, $R'_{aU} = a^4 R'_U$. The balayage measure ν_2 and R'_U, for some particular open sets U, are given in the following table.

U	ν_2	R'_U
$\{z : \lvert z\rvert < a\}$ (disk).	$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } z = ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$	$\frac{a^4}{4}$
$\{z : \lvert z - a_0\rvert < a\}$ for fixed $a_0 \in \mathbb{D}$.	$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } z = a_0 + ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$	$\frac{a^4}{4}$
$\{z : a < \lvert z\rvert < b\}$ for $0 < a < b < 1$, (annulus).	$d\nu_2'(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } z = ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$, $d\nu_2''(z) = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } z = be^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$ where $\lambda = \frac{(b^2-a^2) - 2a^2\log(b/a)}{2(b^2-a^2)\log(b/a)}$ and $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$.	$\frac{1}{4}(b^4 - a^4) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(b^2-a^2)^2}{\log(b/a)}$
$\{(x,y) \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} < 1\}$ (ellipse).	$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi}\left[1 - \frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2}\cos(2\theta)\right] d\theta$ when $z \in \partial U$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(ab)^3}{a^2+b^2}$
$\{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 \le r < b(1 + 2a\cos\theta), 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi\}$ (Cardioid).	$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1 + a^2 + 2a\cos\theta)d\theta$ when $z \in \partial U$.	$\frac{b^4}{2}(a^2+1)^2 - \frac{b^4}{4}$
Fix $a < 1$. aT where T be triangle with cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^2$ as vertices.	$\cdots$	$\frac{a^4}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{80}$
$\{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < a, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$, (half-disk).	$\cdots$	$\frac{a^4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right)$

In all the above examples, the parameters a, b are such that $U \subseteq D$. For detailed calculations, see Section 6.

3. proof of Theorem 6

We assume Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 in order to prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. Since the n-th Ginibre ensemble converges in distribution to infinite Ginibre ensemble as $n \to \infty$, we have that

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0].$$

Using the determinantal nature of density of eigenvalues of G_n, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0] \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(rU)^c} \cdots \int_{(rU)^c} \det(K_n(z_i, z_j))_{1 \le i,j \le n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} dm(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(rU)^c} \cdots \int_{(rU)^c} \det(\varphi_k(z_i)) \det(\overline{\varphi_k(z_i)}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} dm(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(rU)^c} \cdots \int_{(rU)^c} \sum_{\sigma,\tau \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\sigma(i)}(z_i) \overline{\varphi_{\tau(i)}(z_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} dm(z_i) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z) \overline{\varphi_{\sigma(i)}(z)} dm(z) \\
&= \det\left(\int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z) \overline{\varphi_j(z)} dm(z) \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$M_n(rU) := \left(\int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z) \overline{\varphi_j(z)} dm(z) \right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}.$$

$M_n(rU)$ is the integral of the positive definite matrix function $\left(\varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_j(z)}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ over the region $(rU)^c$. So, we have that $M_n(rU) \ge M_n(r\mathbb{D}) \ge 0$ for all n and $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Observe that for a positive definite matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, we have

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \le \det(A) \det(D).$$

Therefore we have

$$\det(M_n(rU)) \le \det(M_{n-1}(rU)). \int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_n(z) \overline{\varphi_n(z)} dm(z) \le \det(M_{n-1}(rU)).$$

Therefore $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0] = \det(M_n(rU))$ decreases with n and converges to $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0]$. Therefore, for all $n \ge 2r^2$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_{2r^2}(rU) = 0] \ge \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0] \ge \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0]. \tag{3}$$

Again for $n > 2r^2$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0] = \det(M_n(rU)) = \det(M_{2r^2}(rU)) \det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^2}(rU)]), \tag{4}$$

where $[M_n(rU)/M_{2r^2}(rU)]$ is the Schur complement of the block $M_{2r^2}(rU)$ of the matrix $M_n(rU)$. Since $M_n(rU) \ge M_n(r\mathbb{D}) \ge 0$, the Schur complements satisfy the inequality

$$[M_n(rU)/M_{2r^2}(rU)] \ge [M_n(r\mathbb{D})/M_{2r^2}(r\mathbb{D})].$$

By min-max theorem, we have

$$\det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^2}(rU)]) \ge \det([M_n(r\mathbb{D})/M_{2r^2}(r\mathbb{D})]).$$

As $\mathbb{D}$ is circular domain, we have

$$\int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} \varphi_i(z) \overline{\varphi_j(z)} dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \ne j.$$

Therefore $M_n(r\mathbb{D}) = \text{diag}\left(\int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} |\varphi_1(z)|^2 dm(z), \ldots, \int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} |\varphi_n(z)|^2 dm(z)\right)$. For large r, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^2}(rU)]) &\geq \prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{n} \int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z) \\ &\geq \prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{\infty} \int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z) \geq C \quad \text{(by (42))}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for some positive constant C. By (4) and (5), for large r, we get

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(rU) = 0] \geq C.\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_{2r^2}(rU) = 0]. \tag{6}$$

Therefore from (3) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] &= \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_{2r^2}(rU) = 0] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{4}{n} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{U}{\sqrt{2}}) = 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Since U satisfies the conditions of either Theorem 4 or Theorem 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_\infty(rU) = 0] &= 4.\left(-R_{\frac{U}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{3}{4}\right) = -4.R'_{\frac{U}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ &= -R'_U = -R_U + \frac{3}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

third equality follows from the Theorem 3. ∎

4. proof of Theorem 3

In this section we present the proof of Theorem 3. Before proceeding to the proof, we provide some basic definitions and facts of classical potential theory from [15], [16].

Support of a positive measure μ on $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by $supp(\mu)$, consists of all points z such that $\mu(D_r(z)) > 0$ for every open disk $D_r(z)$ of radius $r > 0$ and with center at z. The measure μ is said to be compactly supported measure if $supp(\mu)$ is compact. Let μ be a compactly supported probability measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Then its *potential* is the function $p_\mu : \mathbb{C} \to (-\infty, \infty]$ defined by

$$p_\mu(z) := -\int \log|z - w| d\mu(w) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Its *logarithmic energy* I_μ is defined by

$$I_\mu := -\iint \log|z - w| d\mu(z) d\mu(w) = \int p_\mu(z) d\mu(z).$$

A set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be polar if $I_\mu = \infty$ for all compactly supported probability measures μ with $supp(\mu) \subset E$. The *capacity* of a subset E of $\mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$C(E) := e^{-\inf\{I_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)\}}.$$

Clearly, the capacity of the polar sets is zero. The singleton sets are polar and countable union of polar sets is again a polar set. A property is said to hold *quasi-everywhere* (q.e.) on $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ if it holds everywhere on E except some borel polar set. Every Borel probability measure with finite logarithmic energy assigns zero measure to Borel polar sets (see Theorem 3.2.3, [15]). So, a property, which holds

q.e. on E, holds μ-*everywhere* on E, for every μ with finite energy. As a corollary, we have that every Borel polar set has Lebesgue measure zero and a property, which holds q.e. on E, holds a.e. on E.

A weight function $w : E \to [0, \infty)$, on a closed subset E of $\mathbb{C}$, is said to be *admissible* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) w is upper semi-continuous,
(2) $E_0 := \{z \in E | w(z) > 0\}$ has positive capacity,
(3) if E is unbounded, then $|z|w(z) \to 0$ has $|z| \to \infty, z \in E$.

Equilibrium measure: The probability measure, with support in E, which minimizes

$$R_\mu = \int p_\mu(z) d\mu(z) + 2 \int Q(z) d\mu(z),$$

where $w = e^{-Q}$ is an admissible weight function, is called *equilibrium measure* for E with external field Q. We have the following facts regarding equilibrium measure.

Fact 7. Let $w = e^{-Q}$ be an admissible weight function on closed set E. Then there exists a unique equilibrium measure ν, for E with external field Q. The equilibrium measure ν has compact support and R_ν is finite (so is I_ν). ν satisfies the following conditions

$$p_\nu(z) + Q(z) = C \tag{7}$$

for q.e. $z \in supp(\nu)$ and

$$p_\nu(z) + Q(z) \geq C \tag{8}$$

for q.e. $z \in E$ for some constant C. Also, the above conditions uniquely characterize the equilibrium measure, i.e. a probability measure with compact support in E and finite energy, which satisfies the conditions (7) and (8) for some constant C, is the equilibrium measure for E with external field Q.

For the proof of this fact, see ([16], Chapter I Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 3.3). The discrete analogue of the above minimization problem of R_μ is the problem of finding the limit of

$$\delta_n^\omega(E) := \sup_{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \in E} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j| \omega(z_i) \omega(z_j) \right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}},$$

as $n \to \infty$. The sets $\mathcal{F}_n = \{z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*\} \subset E$ are said to be *n-th weighted Fekete sets* for E if

$$\delta_n^\omega(E) = \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i^* - z_j^*| \omega(z_i^*) \omega(z_j^*) \right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}}.$$

The points $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ in weighted Fekete set $\mathcal{F}_n$ are called n-th weighted Fekete points. It is known that the sequence $\{\delta_n^\omega(E)\}_{n=2}^\infty$ decreases to e^{-R_ν}, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n^\omega(E) = e^{-R_\nu}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, the uniform probability measures on n-th weighted Fekete sets converge weakly to equilibrium measure ν, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_{\mathcal{F}_n} = \nu,$$

where $\nu_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is uniform measure on $\mathcal{F}_n$. For the proofs of these facts, see [16], Chapter III Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. The following fact (an application of Theorem 4.7 in Chapter II, [16], to bounded open sets) is about the existence and uniqueness of the balayage measure.

Fact 8. Let U be an bounded open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and μ be finite Borel measure on U (i.e., $\mu(U^c) = 0$). Then there exists a unique measure $\hat{\mu}$ on ∂U such that $\hat{\mu}(\partial U) = \mu(U)$, $\hat{\mu}(B) = 0$ for every Borel polar set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $p_{\hat{\mu}(z)} = p_\mu(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. $\hat{\mu}$ is said to be the balayage measure associated with μ on U.

As we are are interested in the hole probabilities for Ginibre ensemble, we take for E sets of the form $\mathbb{C}\backslash U$, for some open $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, and $Q(z) = \frac{|z|^2}{2}$. Clearly, $w(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2}$ is an admissible weight function on such E.

First we give basic examples of equilibrium measures, then we prove Theorem 3. Recall the notation, $R_U = \inf\{R_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}\backslash U)\}$, that we are using to emphasize the hole probabilities. We use the following well known fact, known as Jensen's formula.

Fact 9. For each $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log\frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|}d\theta = \begin{cases} \log\frac{1}{r} & \text{if } |z| \le r \\ \log\frac{1}{|z|} & \text{if } |z| > r \end{cases}.$$

To see this, note that $\log|1 - z|$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, by mean value property we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|1 - re^{i\theta}|d\theta = 0 \text{ for } r < 1.$$

This equality is true also for $r = 1$, by direct calculation. This implies that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|z - re^{i\theta}|d\theta = \log(\max\{|z|, r\})$.

Fact 10. Suppose $E = \mathbb{C}$ and $Q(z) = \frac{|z|^2}{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Then the equilibrium measure μ is normalized uniform measure on unit disk and $R_\emptyset = \frac{3}{4}$, i.e.,

$$d\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi}dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } R_\mu = \frac{3}{4},$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ denotes the open unit disk.

Proof of Fact 10. Let $d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dm(z)$ when $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and zero other wise. We show that the measure μ satisfies the conditions (7) and (8). Hence by Fact 7 we conclude that μ is the equilibrium measure.
Let $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log\frac{1}{|z - w|}dm(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi} \log\frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|}rdrd\theta \\ &= 2\log\frac{1}{|z|}\int_0^{|z|} rdr + 2\int_{|z|}^1 \log\frac{1}{r}rdr \quad \text{(by Fact 9)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|z|^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Which implies that μ satisfies the condition (7). On other hand for $|z| \geq 1$ we have

$$p_\mu(z) \quad = \quad \log \frac{1}{|z|}.$$

Since the function $f(r) := \frac{r^2}{2} + \log \frac{1}{r}$ is strictly increasing for $r > 1$ and $f(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence ν satisfies the condition (8), i.e.,

$$p_\mu(z) + \frac{|z|^2}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{11}$$

for $|z| \geq 1$. Therefore μ is the equilibrium measure for $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with external field $\frac{|z|^2}{2}$.

Value of $R_\emptyset$: We have

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} |z|^2 d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi r^2 r dr d\theta = 2 \int_0^1 r^3 dr = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{12}$$

By Fact 9, we have

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} p_\mu(z) d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{4}. \tag{13}$$

Therefore by (12) and (13) we get $R_\emptyset = \frac{3}{4}$. ∎

Remark 11. If $E = \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$ and $Q(z) = \frac{|z|^2}{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Then by similar calculation it can be shown that the equilibrium measure ν is uniform measure on unit circle, i.e.

$$d\nu(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } |z| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } R_{\mathbb{D}} = R_\nu = 1.$$

Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ be an open set. Set $E = U^c$, $Q(z) = \frac{|z^2|}{2}$. Clearly, $w = e^{-Q}$ is an admissible weight function on E. Let μ be the uniform probability measure on unit disk. Let $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$, where μ_1 and μ_2 are μ restricted to U^c and U respectively. By Fact 8, we know that there exists a measure ν_2 on ∂U such that $\nu_2(\partial U) = \mu_2(U)$, $\nu_2(B) = 0$ for every Borel polar set and

$$p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z) \quad \text{q.e. on } U^c.$$

Define $\nu = \mu_1 + \nu_2$. Then we have that the support of ν is $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash U$ and

$$p_\nu(z) = p_{\mu_1}(z) + p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_1}(z) + p_{\mu_2}(z) = p_\mu(z) \quad \text{q.e. on } U^c.$$

From (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu(z) + \frac{|z|^2}{2} \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \\ p_\mu(z) + \frac{|z|^2}{2} \quad &\geq \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^c. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} p_\nu(z) + \frac{|z|^2}{2} \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for q.e. } z \in supp(\nu) \\ p_\nu(z) + \frac{|z|^2}{2} \quad &\geq \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for q.e. } z \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The energy of the measure ν,

$$I_\nu = \int p_\nu(z)d\nu(z) = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{|z|^2}{2}\right) d\nu(z),$$

is finite. The second equality follows from the fact that $\nu(B) = 0$ for all Borel polar sets B. So, ν has finite energy and satisfies conditions (7) and (8). Therefore, by Fact 7, ν is the equilibrium measure for U^c with the quadratic external field $\frac{|z|^2}{2}$.

Value of R_U : We have

$$p_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|z|^2}{2},$$

q.e on the support of ν. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nu &= \int p_\nu(z)d\nu(z) + \int |z|^2 d\nu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \int \frac{|z|^2}{2} d\nu(z) + \int |z|^2 d\nu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \int \frac{|z|^2}{2} d\mu_1(z) + \int \frac{|z|^2}{2} d\nu_2(z) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \int |z|^2 d\mu_2(z)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Last equality follows from the fact that $\int |z|^2 d\mu_1(z) + \int |z|^2 d\mu_2(z) = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore we get

$$R_\nu = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\right]. \tag{14}$$

The result follows from the fact that $R_U = R_\nu$. ■

Remark 12. Let ν_2 and μ_2 be as in the above proof i.e. ν_2 is the balayage measure associated with μ_2. We have $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. As the logarithmic potential of a measure is harmonic outside its support, the above relation holds for every $z \in \overline{U}^c$. Outside $\mathbb{D}$, $p_{\nu_2}(z)$ and $p_{\mu_2}(z)$ are real parts of the analytic functions $-\int_{\partial U} \log(z-w)d\nu_2(w)$ and $-\int_{\partial U} \log(z-w)d\mu_2(w)$, respectively. So there exists a constant c such that for all $|z| > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial U} \log(z-w)d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U \log(z-w)d\mu_2(w) + c, \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial U} [\log z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{nz^n}]d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U [\log z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{nz^n}]d\mu_2(w) + c \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial U} w^n d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U w^n d\mu_2(w), \forall n \geqslant 0 \text{ and } c = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial U} w^n d\nu_2(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w), \forall n \geqslant 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

To see the converse of the above, suppose ν_2 is a measure on ∂U which satisfies the relations (15), then $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for every $z \in \overline{U}^c$. If ∂U is a piecewise smooth curve and ν_2 has density with respect to arc-length on ∂U, then $p_{\nu_2}(z)$ is continuous at all the continuity points of the density of ν_2 ([16] Chapter II Theorem 1.5). In this case $p_{\mu_2}(z)$ is also continuous on ∂U. So if the density of ν_2 is piecewise

continuous on ∂U, we get that $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. Therefore when ∂U is piecewise smooth curve, a measure ν_2 on ∂U which has piecewise continuous density with respect to arclength and satisfies relations (15) is the balayage measure on ∂U.

5. Proofs of Theorems 4 and 5

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5. First, we give an upper bound for hole probabilities.

Upper bound: From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\dots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\
&= \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\dots\int_{U^c}\left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|\omega(z_i)\omega(z_j)\right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-|z_k|^2} dm(z_k), \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2}}$. Let $z_1^*, z_2^*, \dots, z_n^*$ be weighted Fekete points for U^c. Therefore we have

$$\delta_n^\omega(U^c) = \left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i^* - z_j^*|\omega(z_i^*)\omega(z_j^*)\right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}}.$$

Therefore from (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] &\leq \frac{1}{Z_n}(\delta_n^\omega(U^c))^{n(n-1)} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\int_{U^c} e^{-|z_k|^2} dm(z_k)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{Z_n}.a^n.(\delta_n^\omega(U^c))^{n(n-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a = \int_{U^c} e^{-|z|^2} dm(z)$. Again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log Z_n}{n^2} &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\left(-\frac{n^2}{2}\log n + n\log\pi + \sum_{k=1}^n\sum_{r=1}^k \log r\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n\sum_{r=1}^k \log\frac{r}{n}\right) \\
&= \int_0^1\int_0^y \log x dx dy = -\frac{3}{4}. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (9) and (17), we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \leq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}\setminus U)} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4} = -R_U + \frac{3}{4}. \qquad (18)$$

Note that this upper bound is true for any open U.

The following lemma, which is used in the proof of Theorem 5, provides separation between weighted Fekete points which are being considered in this paper. The separation of Fekete points has been studied by many authors, e.g., [3], [6].

Lemma 13. *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying condition (2) and $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ be weighted Fekete points for U^c. Then, for large n,*

$$\min\{|z_i^* - z_k^*| : 1 \le i \ne k \le n\} \ge C.\frac{1}{n^3}$$

for some constant C (does not depend on n).

Assuming Lemma 13 we proceed to prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ be weighted Fekete points for U^c. It is known that $|z_\ell^*| \le 1$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (see [16], Chapter III Theorem 2.8). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)\\
&\ge \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{B_1}\cdots\int_{B_n}\left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|\omega(z_i)\omega(z_j)\right\}^2\prod_{k=1}^n e^{-|z_k|^2}dm(z_k),\\
&\ge \frac{e^{-2n}}{Z_n}\int_{B_1}\cdots\int_{B_n}\left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|\omega(z_i)\omega(z_j)\right\}^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_\ell = U^c \cap B(z_\ell^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2}}$. By Lemma 13 we have $|z_i^* - z_j^*| \ge \frac{C}{n^3}$ for $i \ne j$, for some constant C independent of n. Suppose $z_i \in B(z_i^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ and $z_j \in B(z_j^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ for $i \ne j$, then we have

$$|z_i - z_j| \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*| - \frac{2C}{n^4} \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*| - \frac{2}{n}\cdot|z_i^* - z_j^*| \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*|\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0]\\
\ge\ & \frac{e^{-2n}}{Z_n}\int_{B_1}\cdots\int_{B_n}\left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i^* - z_j^*|\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)\omega(z_i)\omega(z_j)\right\}^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)\\
\ge\ & \frac{e^{-2n}}{Z_n}\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^{n(n-1)}.e^{-\frac{2C}{n^2}}.\left\{\prod_{i<j}|z_i^* - z_j^*|\omega(z_i^*)\omega(z_j^*)\right\}^2\prod_{k=1}^n\int_{B_k} dm(z_k)
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z_i|^2} \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|z_i^*| + \frac{C}{n^4})^2} \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z_i^*|^2}.e^{-\frac{C}{n^4}(|z_i^*| + \frac{C}{2n^4})} \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z_i^*|^2}.e^{-\frac{2C}{n^4}},$$

for $z_i \in B(z_i^*, \frac{C}{n^4}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For large n, we have $\int_{B_i} dm(z_i) \ge \pi.(\frac{C}{2n^4})^2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (by condition (2)). Hence we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \ge \frac{e^{-2n}}{Z_n}\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^{n(n-1)}.e^{-\frac{2C}{n^2}}.(\delta_n^\omega(U^c))^{n(n-1)}.\left(\pi.\left(\frac{C}{2n^4}\right)^2\right)^n.$$

Therefore by (9) and (17), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \ge -\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}\setminus U)} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4} = -R_U + \frac{3}{4}.$$

The above inequality and (18) give the result. ∎

It remains to prove Lemma 13.

Proof of Lemma 13. Let $P(z) = (z - z_2^*) \cdots (z - z_n^*)$. Now we show that

$$\min\{|z_1^* - z_k^*| : 2 \leq k \leq n\} \geq C.\frac{1}{n^3}$$

for some constant C. Suppose $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$. By Cauchy integral formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z_1^*)| &= |P(z_1^*) - P(z_2^*)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_1^*)} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_2^*)} d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{|P(\zeta)||z_1^* - z_2^*|}{|\zeta - z_1^*||\zeta - z_2^*|} |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}.|P(\zeta^*)|.\frac{n^2}{2}.n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.2\pi.\frac{2}{n^2}, \quad (\text{as } |\zeta - z_2^*| \geq \tfrac{1}{n^2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta^* \in \{\zeta : |\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}\}$ such that $P(\zeta^*) = \sup\{|P(\zeta)| : |z_1^* - \zeta| = \frac{2}{n^2}\}$. Therefore we have

$$|P(z_1^*)| \leq n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.|P(\zeta^*)|. \tag{19}$$

Again we have that if $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $|z - w| \leq \frac{2}{n}$, then

$$e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z|^2}{2}} \leq 10.e^{-(n-1)\frac{|w|^2}{2}}. \tag{20}$$

Indeed, we have

$$e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}(|z|^2 - |w|^2)} = e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}(|z| + |w|)(|z| - |w|)} \leq e^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}.2.\frac{2}{n}} = e^2.$$

Case I: Suppose $\zeta^* \in U^c$. Since $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ are the Fekete points for U^c we have

$$|P(\zeta^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{|\zeta^*|^2}{2}} \leq |P(z_1^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z_1^*|^2}{2}}.$$

Then from (19) and (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z_1^*|^2}{2}} &\leq n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.|P(\zeta^*)|.10.e^{-(n-1)\frac{|\zeta^*|^2}{2}} \\ &\leq 10.n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.|P(z_1^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z_1^*|^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

And hence we get

$$|z_1^* - z_2^*| \geq \frac{1}{10.n^2}.$$

Case II: Suppose $\zeta^* \in U$. Therefore $\text{dist}(z_1^*, \partial U) = \inf\{|z - z_1^*| \ : \ z \in \partial U\} < \frac{2}{n^2}$. Choose large n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. From the given condition (2) on U, we can choose $\eta \in U^c$ such that $z_1^* \in \overline{B(\eta, \frac{1}{n})} \subseteq U^c$. By taking the power series expansion of P around η and by triangle inequality, we get

$$|P(\zeta^*)| \leq |P(\eta)| + |\zeta^* - \eta|.\left|\frac{P^{(1)}(\eta)}{1!}\right| + \cdots + |\zeta^* - \eta|^{(n-1)}.\left|\frac{P^{(n-1)}(\eta)}{(n-1)!}\right|, \tag{21}$$

where $P^{(r)}(\cdot)$ denotes the r-th derivative of P. From the Cauchy integral formula we have

$$\left|\frac{P^{(r)}(\eta)}{r!}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|z-\eta|=\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|P(z)|}{|z-\eta|^{r+1}}|dz| \leq |P(\eta^*)|.n^r,$$

where $\eta^* \in \{z : |z-\eta| = \frac{1}{n}\}$ such that $P(\eta^*) = \sup\{|P(z)| : |z-\eta| = \frac{1}{n}\}$. Note that $|\zeta^* - \eta| \leq |\zeta^* - z_1^*| + |z_1^* - \eta| \leq \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n}$, therefore we have

$$|\zeta^* - \eta|^r.\frac{|P^{(r)}(\eta)|}{r!} \leq \left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)^r.|P(\eta^*)| \leq e^2.|P(\eta^*)|.$$

Therefore from (21) we get

$$|P(\zeta^*)| \leq |P(\eta)| + e^2.n.|P(\eta^*)|.$$

And hence from (19) and (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z_1^*|^2}{2}} \\ \leq\ & n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.10.\left(|P(\eta)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{|\eta|^2}{2}} + n.e^2.|P(\eta^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{|\eta^*|^2}{2}}\right) \\ \leq\ & n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.10.\left(1+n.e^2\right)|P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{|z_1^*|^2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ are the Fekete points for U^c and $\eta, \eta^* \in U^c$. Therefore we get

$$|z_1^* - z_2^*| \geq \frac{1}{2.10.e^2 n^3}.$$

By Case I and Case II we get that if $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$, then $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \geq \frac{20.e^2}{n^3}$. Similarly, if $|z_1^* - z_k^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$ for $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, then $|z_1^* - z_k^*| \geq \frac{20.e^2}{n^3}$. Therefore we have

$$\min\{|z_1^* - z_k^*| : k = 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \geq \frac{20.e^2}{n^3}.$$

Similarly it can be shown that $|z_\ell^* - z_k^*| \geq \frac{20.e^2}{n^3}$ for all $1 \leq \ell \neq k \leq n$ and hence

$$\min\{|z_\ell^* - z_k^*| : 1 \leq \ell \neq k \leq n\} \geq \frac{20.e^2}{n^3}.$$

Hence the result. ■

Now we proceed to prove Lemma 2, which helps in the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Lemma 2. As we already have the upper bound from (18), it only remains to prove the lower bound. From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{f(z_k)}{M}dm(z_k), \end{aligned}$$

where f is a compactly supported probability density function with support in U^c and bounded by M. Applying logarithm on both sides we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \\
\geq\ & -\log(Z_n.M^n) + \log\left(\int_{U^c}\dots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n f(z_k)dm(z_k)\right) \\
\geq\ & -\log(Z_n.M^n) + \int_{U^c}\dots\int_{U^c}\log\left(e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2\right)\prod_{k=1}^n f(z_k)dm(z_k) \\
=\ & -\log(Z_n.M^n) + n(n-1)\int_{U^c}\int_{U^c}(\log|z_1-z_2| - \frac{n}{n-1}|z_1|^2)\prod_{k=1}^2 f(z_k)dm(z_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Jensen's inequality. Therefore by taking limits on both sides, we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U)=0] \geq -\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n - R_\mu = -R_\mu + \frac{3}{4} \tag{22}$$

for any probability measure μ with density bounded and compactly supported on U^c.

Let μ be probability measure with density f compactly supported on U^c. Consider the sequence of measures with bounded densities

$$d\mu_M(z) = \frac{f_M(z)dm(z)}{\int f_M(w)dm(w)},$$

where $f_M(z) = \min\{f(z), M\}$. From monotone convergence theorem for positive and negative parts of logarithm, it follows that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\int_{U^c}\int_{U^c}\log|z_1-z_2|\prod_{k=1}^2 f_M(z_k)dm(z_k) = \int_{U^c}\int_{U^c}\log|z_1-z_2|\prod_{k=1}^2 f(z_k)dm(z_k).$$

From monotone convergence theorem, it follows that $\lim_{M\to\infty}\int f_M(w)dm(w) = 1$ and $\lim_{M\to\infty}\int |z|^2 f_M(z)dm(w) = \int |z|^2 f(z)dm(w)$. Therefore

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} R_{\mu_M} = R_\mu.$$

So (22) is true for any measure with density compactly supported on U^c.

Let μ be a probability measure with compact support at a distance of at least δ from U. Then the convolution $\mu * \sigma_\epsilon$, where σ_ϵ is uniform probability measure on disk of radius ϵ around origin, has density compactly supported in U^c, if ϵ is less than δ. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} &= \iint \log|z-w| d(\mu*\sigma_\epsilon)(z) d(\mu*\sigma_\epsilon)(w) \\
&= \iint\iint\iint \log|z+\epsilon r_1 e^{i\theta_1} - w - \epsilon r_2 e^{i\theta_2}| \frac{r_1 dr_1 d\theta_1}{\pi}\frac{r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2}{\pi} d\mu(z)d\mu(w) \\
&\quad \text{(limits of } r_1, r_2 \text{ are from 0 to 1 and } \theta_1, \theta_2 \text{ are from 0 to } 2\pi \text{)} \\
&\geq \iint \log|z-w| d\mu(z) d\mu(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the repeated application of the mean value property of the subharmonic function $\log|z|$. And also we have

$$I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} \leq \iint \log[|z-w|+2\epsilon]d\mu(z)d\mu(w).$$

Therefore, $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} = I_\mu$ and hence $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} R_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} = R_\mu$.

So, (22) is true for any probability measure with compact support whose distance from U is positive. Hence the required lower bound. ■

It remains to prove the Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $U, U_1, U_2, \ldots$ be open subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying conditions in Theorem 4. By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U)=0] &\leq -\inf_{\mu\in\mu\in\mathcal{P}(U^c)} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4},\\
\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U)=0] &\geq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4} \geq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}_m} R_\mu + \frac{3}{4},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) : \text{dist}(\text{Supp}(\mu),\overline{U})> 0\}$, $\mathcal{A}_m = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) : \mu(U_m) = 0\}$. Since $\overline{U} \subset U_m$, we have $\mathcal{A}_m \subset \mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 3, we also have that

$$R_{U_m} = \inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}_m} R_\mu = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U_m} |z|^2 d\nu_m(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{U_m} |z|^2 dm(z)\right].$$

Since the balayage measures ν_m converge weakly to the balayage measure ν, $\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}_m} R_\mu$ converges to $\inf_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}} R_\mu$ as $m\to\infty$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-R_U + \frac{3}{4} &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U)=0]\\
&\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\log \mathbf{P}[\mathcal{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U)=0] \geq -R_U + \frac{3}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. ■

Remark 14. Convex open sets in unit disk, which do not intersect unit circle, satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. More generally note that if U is an open set containing origin such that $\overline{U} \subset aU$ for all $a > 1$, then the balayage measure ν_a on $\partial(aU)$ is given in terms of the balayage measure ν on ∂U as $\nu_a(B) = \frac{1}{a^2}\nu(\frac{1}{a}B)$ for any measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$. Therefore ν_a converges weakly to ν as $a \to 1$. Similarly, translations of such open sets U also satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. All the examples we considered, except annulus, satisfy the above condition. In the case of annulus, we can construct the required sequence of open sets by varying inner and outer radii of annulus appropriately.

In the next section we calculate potential, the balayage measure ν_2 and the constant R_U explicitly for some particular open sets U.

6. Examples

In this section we calculate the balayage measure ν_2 and the constant R_U explicitly for some particular open sets U. In the first example we consider annulus with inner and outer radius a and b respectively.

Example 15. Fix $0 < a < b < 1$. Suppose $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < |z| < b\}$. Then the balayage measure is $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d\nu_2'(z) &= \begin{cases} \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = a \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \\ d\nu_2''(z) &= \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = b \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and λ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{(b^2 - a^2) - 2a^2 \log(b/a)}{2(b^2 - a^2)\log(b/a)}.$$

The constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}(b^4 - a^4) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(b^2 - a^2)^2}{\log(b/a)}.$$

Note: In particular if $a = b$, then $R_U = \frac{3}{4}$ which implies Fact 10. Again if we take aspect ratio $a/b = c$, then

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\left((1 - c^4) + \frac{(1-c^2)^2}{\log c}\right) b^4.$$

Note that the same expression has appeared in hole probability for infinite Ginibre ensemble (Theorem 1).

Computation for Example 15. Because of rotational symmetry, the balayage measure on ∂U has to be of the form $\nu_2' + \nu_2''$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d\nu_2'(z) &= \begin{cases} \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = a \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \\ d\nu_2''(z) &= \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = b \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

let μ_2 be the measure $\frac{1}{\pi}m$ on U. Note that if $|z| > b$, then $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for every choice of $0 < \lambda < 1$. If $|z| < a$, by Fact 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\nu_2}(z) &= \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\log\frac{1}{a} + (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\log\frac{1}{b}, \\ p_{\mu_2}(z) &= b^2 \log\frac{1}{b} - a^2\log\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}(b^2 - a^2). \end{aligned}$$

By equating $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ when $|z| < a$, we get

$$\lambda = \frac{(b^2 - a^2) - 2a^2 \log(b/a)}{2(b^2 - a^2)\log(b/a)}.$$

Therefore for this particular choice of λ we have $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for all $z \in \{z : a \le |z| \le b\}^c$. Therefore this particular value of λ gives us the equilibrium measure and the constant

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}(b^4 - a^4) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(b^2 - a^2)^2}{\log(b/a)},$$

can be verified from the formula given in the Theorem 3. ■

In the next example we consider disk of radius a contained in unit disk. Let $B(c_0, a)$ be the ball of radius a centered at c_0.

Example 16. For $U = B(c_0, a) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, the equilibrium measure is $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, where

$$d\nu_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash U \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } |z - c_0| = a \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

and the constant is $R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}a^4$.

Note that the equilibrium measure and the constant do not depend on the position of the ball. These values depend only on radius of the ball. This follows directly from the fact that the balayage measure corresponding to uniform measure on a ball is uniform on its boundary, which follows easily from Fact 9. Now we consider ellipse.

Example 17. Fix $0 < a, b < 1$. Suppose $U = \{(x, y) | \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} < 1\}$. Then

$$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi} \left[1 - \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + b^2} \cos(2\theta) \right] d\theta \text{ and } R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(ab)^3}{a^2 + b^2}.$$

Computation for Example 17. Let $x = ar\cos\theta, y = br\sin\theta, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, 0 \le r \le 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_U w^n dm(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_U (x + iy)^n dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^n (a\cos\theta + ib\sin\theta)^n abr d\theta dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab(a\cos\theta + ib\sin\theta)^n}{n+2} d\theta \\ &\quad \text{(by substituting } \alpha = \tfrac{a+b}{2}, \beta = \tfrac{a-b}{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha e^{i\theta} + \beta e^{-i\theta})^n}{n+2} d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2}\binom{n}{n/2}}{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $d\nu_2(w) = \frac{1}{\pi}[c_0 + c_1(e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta})]d\theta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial U} w^n d\nu_2(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\alpha e^{i\theta} + \beta e^{-i\theta})^n [c_0 + c_1(e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta})] d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \left[c_0 \alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2}\binom{n}{n/2} + c_1 \binom{n}{n/2-1} \alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2} (\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\alpha\beta}) \right] & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that if we take

$$(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\frac{\binom{n}{n/2}}{n+2} = c_0 \binom{n}{n/2} + c_1 \binom{n}{n/2 - 1} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\alpha\beta} \right), \text{ for all } n \text{ even}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)}{2} = c_0 = -c_1 \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\alpha\beta} \right).$$

Therefore ν_2 satisfies (15) for all n and also has continuous density with respect to arclength of ∂U. Therefore, by Remark 12, the measure ν_2 on ∂U given by

$$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi}\left[1 - \frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2}\cos(2\theta)\right]d\theta$$

is the balayage measure on ∂U and constant R_U is given by

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U}|w|^2 d\nu_2(w) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |w|^2 dm(w)\right] = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{(ab)^3}{a^2+b^2}.$$

Hence the result. ■

Note that if we take $a = b$ then we get the Example 16. In the next example we consider cardioid.

Example 18. Fix $a, b > 0$ such that $U = \{re^{i\theta} | 0 \le r < b(1+2a\cos\theta), 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then the balayage measure ν_2 and the constant R_U are given by

$$d\nu_2(w) = \frac{b^2}{\pi}(1 + a^2 + 2a\cos\theta)d\theta \text{ and } R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{b^4}{2}\left((a^2+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Note: The cardioid U can be thought of as small perturbation of disk of radius b.

Computation for Example 18. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{b(1+2a\cos\theta)} r^n e^{in\theta} r dr d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{b^{n+2}(1+2a\cos\theta)^{n+2}}{n+2}e^{in\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{(1+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})^{n+2}}{n+2}e^{in\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi(n+2)}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_{0\le u+v\le n+2}\frac{(n+2)!}{u!v!(n+2-u-v)!}a^{u+v}e^{i(n+u-v)\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi(n+2)}\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{0!n!2!}a^n + \frac{(n+2)!}{1!(n+1)!0!}a^{n+2}\right).2\pi \\
&= b^{n+2}\cdot a^n(n+1+2a^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We show that the measure $d\mu(w) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1+2a^2+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})d\theta$ satisfies the required condition (15) to be the balayage measure for cardioid. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial U} w^n d\mu(w) &= \frac{b^{n+2}}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(1+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})^n e^{in\theta}(1+2a^2+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{2\pi}\left(a^n(1+2a^2) + a\frac{n!}{1!0!(n-1)!}a^{n-1}\right).2\pi \\
&= b^{n+2}.a^n(1+2a^2+n) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w) \quad \text{for all } n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore μ satisfies (15) for all n and also has continuous density with respect to arclength of ∂U. Therefore, by Remark 12, the balayage measure ν_2 on boundary

of U is given by

$$d\nu_2(w) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1 + 2a^2 + ae^{i\theta} + ae^{-i\theta})d\theta.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial U} |w|^2 d\nu_2(w) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |w|^2 dm(w) \\ = \; & \frac{b^4}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} (1 + 2a\cos\theta)^2(1 + 2a^2 + 2a\cos\theta)d\theta - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{b(1+2a\cos\theta)} r^3 dr d\theta \\ = \; & b^4\left((a^2+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the required constant R_U from (14). ■

In the next few examples, we could not find the balayage measure explicitly, however we calculated the constant R_U explicitly.

Example 19. Fix $0 < a < 1$. Suppose $U = aT$, where T be triangle with cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^2$ as vertices. Then the constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{9\sqrt{3}}{80}.$$

Computation for Example 19. The region T can be written as

$$T = \{r(tw^p + (1-t)w^{p+1})|0 \le r < 1, 0 \le t \le 1, p = 0, 1, 2\}.$$

Suppose $x + iy = ar(t\omega^p + (1-t)\omega^{p+1})$. Then by change of variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi}dm(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dxdy = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2 r dr dt.$$

Let $d\nu_2(t)$ be the balayage measure on the boundary of triangle T. Then from (15), we get

$$\int_{\partial U} z^n d\nu_2(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U z^n dm(z), \text{ for all } n \geqslant 0.$$

Which implies for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (t + (1-t)\omega)^n (1 + \omega^n + \omega^{2n}) d\nu_2(t) \\ = \; & \int_0^1\int_0^1 r^n (t + (1-t)\omega)^n (1 + \omega^n + \omega^{2n})\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}a^2 r dr dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + \omega^n + \omega^{2n} = 0$ when n is not multiple of 3. Therefore we get

$$\int_0^1 (t + (1-t)\omega)^{3n} d\nu_2(t) = \int_0^1 (t + (1-t)\omega)^{3n}\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi(3n+2)}dt. \tag{23}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. This is the key equation to calculate the balayage measure on ∂U. Solve this equation, we can get the balayage measure on ∂U. But we could not solve this equation.

We manage to calculate the constant R_U using (23). By putting $n = 1$ and comparing the real parts in both side of (23), we have

$$\int_0^1 (1 - \frac{9}{2}t(1-t))d\nu_2(t) = \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{9}{2}t(1-t))\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{10\pi}dt.$$

(As real part of $(t+(1-t)\omega)^3$ is $(1-\frac{9}{2}t(1-t))$. By using the fact that $\int_0^1 d\nu_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{4\pi}$ and simplifying the last equation we get

$$\int_0^1 t(1-t))d\nu_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{20\pi}. \tag{24}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z) \\
= \;& 3\left[\int_0^1 |ar(t+(1-t)\omega)|^2 d\nu_2(t) - \int_0^1\int_0^1 |ar(t+(1-t)\omega)|^2 \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi} r dr dt\right] \\
= \;& 3a^2\left[\int_0^1 [1-3t(1-t)]d\nu_2(t) - \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^1 [1-3t(1-t)]r^3 dr dt\right] \\
= \;& 3a^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{10\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{16\pi}\right) \qquad (\text{ by (24) }) \\
= \;& \frac{9\sqrt{3}a^4}{80\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the required constant R_U from (14). ■

In the next example, we consider semi-disk. We only calculated the constant R_U. We were unable to find equilibrium measure.

Example 20. Fix $0 < a < 1$. Suppose $U = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < a, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$. Then the constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right).$$

Computation for Example 20. Let $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$ be the balayage measure. Where ν_2' is the measure on diameter of semicircle ($\{re^{i\theta} : r \le a, \theta = 0, \pi\}$) and $d\nu_2''(z) = g(\theta)d\theta$ is the measure on circular arc ($\{ae^{i\theta} : 0 \le \theta \le \pi\}$). Then from (15), we get

$$\int_{\partial U} z^n d\nu_2(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U z^n m(z), \text{ for all } n \geqslant 0.$$

Which implies for all $n \ge 0$,

$$\int_{-a}^{a} t^n d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^\pi a^n e^{in\theta} g(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^\pi \int_0^a r^n e^{in\theta} r dr \frac{d\theta}{\pi}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\int_{-a}^{a} t^n d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^\pi a^n e^{in\theta} g(\theta) d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2ia^{n+2}}{n(n+2)\pi} & n \text{ is odd} \\ o & n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

This is the key equation to calculate the balayage measure on ∂U. In principle if we solve this equation then we get the balayage measure on ∂U. But we could not solve this equation.

However, with out calculating balyage measure we are able to calculate the constant R_U using (25). Comparing imaginary part in both side of (25), we have

$$\int_0^\pi \sin n\theta\, g(\theta) d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2a^2}{n(n+2)\pi} & n \text{ is odd} \\ o & n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Since $g(\theta)$ is defined on $[0,\pi]$, its fourier series can be made to contain only sine terms, and moreover because of its symmetry with respect to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $g(\theta)$'s fourier series contains only odd sine terms. Therefore we get

$$g(\theta) = \frac{4a^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2k-1)\theta}{4k^2-1}. \tag{27}$$

Using (25), (26) and (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) &= \int_{-a}^{a} t^2 d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^{\pi} a^2 g(\theta) d\theta \\
&= a^2 \int_0^{\pi} (1-\cos 2\theta) g(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{4a^4}{\pi^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k^2-1)} \left(\frac{2}{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{2k-3} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{2a^4}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{8} - 2 \right) \\
&= a^4 \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the constant

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_U |z|^2 dm(z) \right] = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \right).$$

Hence the desired result. ■

7. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1 using the result of Kostlan [10].

Result 21 (Kostlan)**.** The set of absolute values of the eigenvalues of G_n has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n\}$ where R_k are independent and $R_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k,1)$.

As a corollary the set of absolute values of the points of $\mathcal{X}_\infty$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots\}$, where $R_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k,1)$ and all the R_ks are independent.

We state a lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 22. *Fix c such that $0 < c < 1$. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2 r^2) \leq e^{-k\log(\frac{k}{c^2 r^2}) + k - c^2 r^2} \text{ for all } k > c^2 r^2. \tag{28}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) \leq e^{-r^2 + k - k\log(\frac{k}{r^2})} \text{ for all } k < r^2. \tag{29}$$

Using Result 21 and Lemma 22 we prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. **Upper bound:** From the consequence of Result 21 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}[n_c(r)=0] &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<c^2r^2)+\mathbf{P}(R_k^2>r^2)\right)\\ &\le \prod_{c^2r^2}^{r^2}\left(\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<c^2r^2)+\mathbf{P}(R_k^2>r^2)\right)\\ &\le \prod_{c^2r^2}^{r^2}\left(e^{-k\log(\frac{k}{c^2r^2})+k-c^2r^2}+e^{-r^2+k-k\log(\frac{k}{r^2})}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Last inequality is follows from Lemma 22. Let $\lambda=-\frac{1-c^2}{2\log c}$. Then

$$-k\log\left(\frac{k}{c^2r^2}\right)+k-c^2r^2\le -r^2+k-k\log\left(\frac{k}{r^2}\right)\quad\text{for } k\ge\lambda r^2,$$

and the opposite inequality holds for $k\le\lambda r^2$. It is clear that $c^2<\lambda<1$ for $0<c<1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}[n_c(r)=0] &\le 2^{r^2-c^2r^2}\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2}e^{-k\log(k/r^2)+2k\log c+k-c^2r^2}\prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2}e^{-r^2+k-k\log(k/r^2)}\\ &= e^{o(r^4)}\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{r^2}e^{-k\log(k/r^2)+k}\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2}e^{2k\log c-c^2r^2}\prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2}e^{-r^2}. \qquad (30)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{r^2}k\log(k/r^2)=r^4\int_0^1 x\log(x)dx+O(r^2\log r)=-\frac{1}{4}r^4+O(r^2\log r)$ as $r\to\infty$. Hence as $r\to\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{c^2r^2}^{r^2}(-k\log(k/r^2)+k)\\ =\;&\sum_{k=1}^{r^2}(-k\log(k/r^2)+k)-\sum_{1}^{c^2r^2}(-k\log(k/r^2)+k)\\ =\;&\frac{1}{4}r^4+\frac{1}{2}r^4-\left\{\sum_{1}^{c^2r^2}(-k\log(k/c^2r^2)+k-k\log c^2)\right\}+O(r^2\log r)\\ =\;&\frac{3}{4}r^4-\left(\frac{1}{4}c^4r^4+\frac{1}{2}c^4r^4-c^4r^4\log c\right)+O(r^2\log r)\\ =\;&\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3}{4}c^4+c^4\log c\right)r^4(1+o(1)). \qquad (31)\end{aligned}$$

Again as $r\to\infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2}(2k\log c-c^2r^2) = (\lambda^2\log c-c^4\log c+c^4-\lambda c^2)r^4(1+o(1)). \qquad (32)$$

Therefore by (31) and (32) from (30) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) \prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < r^2) \\
= \;& \exp\left\{ \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{4}c^4 + c^4 \log c + \lambda^2 \log c - c^4 \log c + c^4 - \lambda c^2 - 1 + \lambda \right) r^4 (1 + o(1)) \right\} \\
= \;& \exp\left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{4}(1 - c^4) + \lambda(1 - c^2) + \lambda^2 \log c \right) r^4 (1 + o(1)) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

as $r \to \infty$. Hence we have the following upper bound

$$\mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0] \leq e^{(-\frac{1}{4}(1-c^4)+\lambda(1-c^2)+\lambda^2 \log c) r^4 (1+o(1))}, \tag{33}$$

as $r \to \infty$, where $\lambda = -\frac{(1-c^2)}{2 \log c}$.

Lower bound: We want to get lower bound for $\mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0]$ as $r \to \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0] &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) + \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) \right) \\
&\geq \prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) \prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) \prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) \prod_{k=r^2}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2). \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate a lower bound for each product term. Since R_k^2 has distribution $\text{Gamma}(k, 1)$ and $\mathbf{P}(\text{Gamma}(k, 1) > a) = \mathbf{P}(\text{Poisson}(a) < k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > a) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a^j}{j!} e^{-a} \geq \frac{a^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-a} \\
\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < a) &= \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a^j}{j!} e^{-a} \geq \frac{a^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) \prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) \\
= \;& \prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2} \frac{(c^2r^2)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{-c^2r^2} \prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2} \frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-r^2} \\
= \;& \exp\left\{ (-\frac{1}{4}(1 - c^4) + \lambda(1 - c^2) + \lambda^2 \log c) r^4 (1 + o(1)) \right\}. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Again as $r \to \infty$, we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r^2} \frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = \exp\left\{ \frac{3}{4} r^4 + O(r^2 \log r) \right\},$$

and consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{r^2}\frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{r^2}\frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2}\frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\right)^{-1}\\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{r^2}\frac{(r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2}\frac{(c^2r^2)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\right)^{-1}\cdot\left(\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2}(c^2)^{k-1}\right)\\ &= e^{\frac{3}{4}r^4+O(r^2\log r)}.e^{-\frac{3}{4}c^4r^4+O(r^2\log r)}e^{c^4r^4\log c+O(r^2)}\\ &= e^{\left(\frac{3}{4}(1-c^4)+c^4\log c)\right)r^4(1+o(1))}. \qquad (36)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2} e^{-c^2r^2+2k\log c} = \exp\left\{-c^2(\lambda-c^2)r^4+(\lambda^2-c^4)r^4\log c+O(r^2)\right\}, \qquad (37)$$

$$\prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2} e^{-r^2} = \exp\left\{-r^2(r^2-\lambda r^2)\right\} = \exp\{-(1-\lambda)r^4\}. \qquad (38)$$

By (36), (37) and (38) from (35) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{k=c^2r^2}^{\lambda r^2}\mathbf{P}(R_k<c^2r^2)\prod_{k=\lambda r^2}^{r^2}\mathbf{P}(R_k>r^2)\\ &= \exp\left\{\left(-\frac{1}{4}(1-c^4)+\lambda(1-c^2)+\lambda^2\log c\right)r^4(1+o(1))\right\}. \qquad (39)\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<c^2r^2)\prod_{k=r^2+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2>r^2)=e^{O(r^2)}$. Recall that $\mathbf{P}(\text{Poisson}(a)>a)\to\frac{1}{2}$ as $a\to\infty$. Therefore for large enough r, we have $\mathbf{P}[R_k^2>r^2]\geq\frac{1}{4}$ for any $k>r^2$ and $\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<c^2r^2)\geq\frac{1}{4}$ for all $k\leq c^2r^2$. So, for large enough r, we have

$$\prod_{k=r^2+1}^{2r^2}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2>r^2)\geq e^{-r^2\log 4}. \qquad (40)$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<c^2r^2)\geq e^{-c^2r^2\log 4}. \qquad (41)$$

Since

$$\mathbf{P}(R_a<a/2) = \mathbf{P}(\text{Poisson}(a/2)>a)\leq e^{-a}\mathbf{E}[e^{\text{Poisson}(a/2)}]=e^{-c.a},$$

where $c=(1-e)/2$ is constant does not depend a. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2>r^2) &= \prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}(R_k^2\geq k/2)=\prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{\infty}(1-\mathbf{P}(R_k^2<k/2))\\ &\geq \prod_{k=2r^2+1}^{\infty}(1-e^{-c.k})=C, \qquad (42)\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant (as $\sum_{k>2r^2} e^{-c.k} < \infty$). By (40), (41) and (42) we get

$$\prod_{k=1}^{c^2r^2} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) \prod_{k=r^2+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) = e^{O(r^2)}, \tag{43}$$

as $r \to \infty$. By (39) and (43) from (34) we have

$$\mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0] \geq \exp\left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{4}(1-c^4) + \lambda(1-c^2) + \lambda^2 \log c \right) r^4 (1 + o(1)) \right\}.$$

as $r \to \infty$. Therefore by (33) we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0] = -\frac{1}{4}(1-c^4) + \lambda(1-c^2) + \lambda^2 \log c,$$

where $\lambda = -(1-c^2)/2\log c$. Replacing the value of λ we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[n_c(r) = 0] = -\frac{(1-c^2)}{4} \cdot \left(1 + c^2 + \frac{1-c^2}{\log c} \right).$$

Hence the result. ■

Now we prove Lemma 22.

Proof of Lemma 22. **Proof of (28):** Let $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{P}(X > t) \leq e^{-\theta t} \mathbf{E}(e^{\theta X}) = e^{-\theta t + \lambda e^{\theta} - \lambda}.$$

The bound is optimized for $\theta = \log(t/\lambda)$. Since $\theta > 0$, hence $t > \lambda$. Therefore for $t > \lambda$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(X > t) \leq e^{-t\log(t/\lambda) + t - \lambda}.$$

In particular, for $\lambda = c^2r^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 < c^2r^2) &= \mathbf{P}(\text{Poisson}(c^2r^2) > k) \\ &\leq e^{-k\log(k/c^2r^2) + k - c^2r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k > c^2r^2$.

Proof of (29): Since $R_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1)$, hence we have

$$\mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) \leq e^{-\theta r^2} \mathbf{E}[e^{\theta R_k^2}] = e^{-\theta r^2} (1-\theta)^{-k}.$$

For $k < r^2$, the bound is optimized for $\theta = 1 - \frac{k}{r^2}$. Therefore for $k < r^2$, the optimal bound is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(R_k^2 > r^2) &\leq e^{-(1-\frac{k}{r^2})r^2 - k\log(\frac{k}{r^2})} \\ &= e^{-r^2 + k - k\log(\frac{k}{r^2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. ■

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Manjunath Krishnapur for many valuable suggestions and discussions. We would like to thank Alon Nishry for pointing out mistakes in the proof of Theorem 3 in an earlier draft. We also would like to thank Abhishek Dhar for illuminating remarks in the early stages of the project.

Funding: This research is partially supported by UGC Centre for Advanced Studies. Research of Nanda Kishore Reddy is supported by CSIR-SPM fellowship, CSIR, Government of India.

References

[1] Gernot Akemann and Eugene Strahov, *Hole probabilities and overcrowding estimates for products of complex Gaussian matrices*, J. Stat. Phys. **151** (2013), no. 6, 987–1003. MR 3063493

[2] Romain Allez, Jonathan Touboul, and Gilles Wainrib, *Index distribution of the Ginibre ensemble*, J. Phys. A **47** (2014), no. 4, 042001, 8. MR 3158344

[3] Yacin Ameur and Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà, *Beurling–landau densities of weighted fekete sets and correlation kernel estimates*, Journal of Functional Analysis **263** (2012), no. 7, 1825–1861.

[4] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni, *An introduction to random matrices*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 118, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. MR 2760897 (2011m:60016)

[5] Scott N Armstrong, Sylvia Serfaty, and Ofer Zeitouni, *Remarks on a constrained optimization problem for the ginibre ensemble*, Potential Analysis **41** (2014), no. 3, 945–958.

[6] Len Bos, Norm Levenberg, and Shayne Waldron, *On the spacing of Fekete points for a sphere, ball or simplex*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **19** (2008), no. 2, 163–176. MR 2489304 (2010c:52014)

[7] D. J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones, *An introduction to the theory of point processes. Vol. II*, second ed., Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer, New York, 2008, General theory and structure. MR 2371524 (2009b:60150)

[8] Jean Ginibre, *Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices*, J. Mathematical Phys. **6** (1965), 440–449. MR 0173726 (30 #3936)

[9] J. Ben Hough, Manjunath Krishnapur, Yuval Peres, and Bálint Virág, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. MR 2552864 (2011f:60090)

[10] Eric Kostlan, *On the spectra of Gaussian matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **162/164** (1992), 385–388, Directions in matrix theory (Auburn, AL, 1990). MR 1148410 (93c:62090)

[11] Alon Nishry, *Asymptotics of the hole probability for zeros of random entire functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 15, 2925–2946. MR 2673715 (2011f:60099)

[12] ———, *The hole probability for Gaussian entire functions*, Israel J. Math. **186** (2011), 197–220. MR 2852320 (2012k:60104)

[13] ———, *Hole probability for entire functions represented by Gaussian Taylor series*, J. Anal. Math. **118** (2012), no. 2, 493–507. MR 3000689

[14] Dénes Petz and Fumio Hiai, *Logarithmic energy as an entropy functional*, Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Atlanta, GA, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 217, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 205–221. MR 1606719 (99b:46101)

[15] Thomas Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1334766 (96e:31001)

[16] Edward B. Saff and Vilmos Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Appendix B by Thomas Bloom. MR 1485778 (99h:31001)

[17] Tomoyuki Shirai, *Large deviations for the fermion point process associated with the exponential kernel*, J. Stat. Phys. **123** (2006), no. 3, 615–629. MR 2252160

[18] Mikhail Sodin and Boris Tsirelson, *Random complex zeroes. III. Decay of the hole probability*, Israel J. Math. **147** (2005), 371–379. MR 2166369 (2007a:60028)

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India
E-mail address: kartickmath@math.iisc.ernet.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India
E-mail address: kishore11@math.iisc.ernet.in